





TERMS OF SUBSCRIPTION  
Daily Courier per annum in advance \$5.00  
Daily Courier per month in advance .50  
Daily Courier per week in advance .15  
Weekly Courier per annum in advance 3.00  
Weekly Courier per month in advance .25  
Weekly Courier per week in advance .07  
No paper sent free of charge in advance.  
First number on first page for particulars as to rates.

STATE ELECTION, AUGUST 1, 1859.

DEMOCRATIC NOMINATIONS.

FOR GOVERNOR.  
HON. BERNARD MAGOFFIN, of Mercer.

LIEUT. GOVERNOR.  
HON. LINA BOND, of McCracken.

AUDITOR.  
GRANT GREENE, of Henderson.

TREASURER.  
JAMES H. GARRARD, of Franklin.

SUPERINTENDENT PUBLIC INSTRUCTION.  
ROBERT RICHMOND, of Kenton.

REGISTER LAND OFFICE.  
THOMAS J. FRAZER, of Breathitt.

PRESIDENT BOARD INTERNAL IMPROVEMENTS.  
JAMES F. BAILEY, of Warren.

ATTORNEY GENERAL.  
A. J. JAMES, of Franklin.

FOR JUDGES—SEVENTH DISTRICT.  
COL. THOS. H. HOLT, of Louisville.

LOUISVILLE:  
THURSDAY JULY 7, 1859.

Reading Matter on Every Page.

Holt, Mallory and the Journal.

The Journal of Monday replies at great length to the rejoinder of Col. Holt. We are perfectly

justified in concluding that it does not intentionally

mislead Col. Holt, but that it misstates his positions,

and we must attribute it to the haste and imperfection

of the notes of its reporter. We are perfectly

justified in concluding that it does not intentionally

mislead Col. Holt, but that it misstates his positions,

and we must attribute it to the haste and imperfection

of the notes of its reporter. We are perfectly

justified in concluding that it does not intentionally

mislead Col. Holt, but that it misstates his positions,

and we must attribute it to the haste and imperfection

of the notes of its reporter. We are perfectly

justified in concluding that it does not intentionally

mislead Col. Holt, but that it misstates his positions,

and we must attribute it to the haste and imperfection

of the notes of its reporter. We are perfectly

justified in concluding that it does not intentionally

mislead Col. Holt, but that it misstates his positions,

and we must attribute it to the haste and imperfection

of the notes of its reporter. We are perfectly

justified in concluding that it does not intentionally

mislead Col. Holt, but that it misstates his positions,

and we must attribute it to the haste and imperfection

of the notes of its reporter. We are perfectly

justified in concluding that it does not intentionally

mislead Col. Holt, but that it misstates his positions,

and we must attribute it to the haste and imperfection

of the notes of its reporter. We are perfectly

justified in concluding that it does not intentionally

mislead Col. Holt, but that it misstates his positions,

and we must attribute it to the haste and imperfection

of the notes of its reporter. We are perfectly

justified in concluding that it does not intentionally

mislead Col. Holt, but that it misstates his positions,

and we must attribute it to the haste and imperfection

of the notes of its reporter. We are perfectly

justified in concluding that it does not intentionally

mislead Col. Holt, but that it misstates his positions,

and we must attribute it to the haste and imperfection

of the notes of its reporter. We are perfectly

justified in concluding that it does not intentionally

mislead Col. Holt, but that it misstates his positions,

and we must attribute it to the haste and imperfection

of the notes of its reporter. We are perfectly

justified in concluding that it does not intentionally

mislead Col. Holt, but that it misstates his positions,

and we must attribute it to the haste and imperfection

of the notes of its reporter. We are perfectly

justified in concluding that it does not intentionally

mislead Col. Holt, but that it misstates his positions,

and we must attribute it to the haste and imperfection

of the notes of its reporter. We are perfectly

justified in concluding that it does not intentionally

mislead Col. Holt, but that it misstates his positions,

and we must attribute it to the haste and imperfection

of the notes of its reporter. We are perfectly

justified in concluding that it does not intentionally

mislead Col. Holt, but that it misstates his positions,

and we must attribute it to the haste and imperfection

of the notes of its reporter. We are perfectly

justified in concluding that it does not intentionally

mislead Col. Holt, but that it misstates his positions,

and we must attribute it to the haste and imperfection

of the notes of its reporter. We are perfectly

justified in concluding that it does not intentionally

mislead Col. Holt, but that it misstates his positions,

and we must attribute it to the haste and imperfection

of the notes of its reporter. We are perfectly

justified in concluding that it does not intentionally

mislead Col. Holt, but that it misstates his positions,

and we must attribute it to the haste and imperfection

of the notes of its reporter. We are perfectly

justified in concluding that it does not intentionally

mislead Col. Holt, but that it misstates his positions,

and we must attribute it to the haste and imperfection

of the notes of its reporter. We are perfectly

justified in concluding that it does not intentionally

mislead Col. Holt, but that it misstates his positions,

and we must attribute it to the haste and imperfection

of the notes of its reporter. We are perfectly

justified in concluding that it does not intentionally

mislead Col. Holt, but that it misstates his positions,

and we must attribute it to the haste and imperfection

of the notes of its reporter. We are perfectly







